

## Notes from mid-course evaluation meeting KEMM13 2018-04-23

Urban Johanson, 2018-04-25

Present:

Student representatives: Anna Eriksson, Mattis Holma, Ted Lindström

Course leader: Urban Johanson

Overall the course is working fine and no issues that called for immediate action were identified. The atmosphere is open and respectful. Each of the learning activities and the course literature were discussed.

1. Overall organization and schedule:  
No spontaneous comments, appears to work fine. The extensive use of and the organization of material at Live@Lund was valued. The scheduled deadline for the Lab 1 report was ok.
2. Lectures:  
No problems to follow the lectures. The lectures of SH and the use of quizzes and cards were much appreciated. UJ sometimes hard to hear, will make an effort to speak louder. Good that handouts are available long before at Live@Lund, no problem that minor changes were made in the last minute.
3. Problem (exercises):  
Have so far only encountered Problem 1, but that worked fine and was appreciated. Possibly, more of similar exercises could be added.
4. Practicals/Labs:  
Lab 1 was appreciated by itself but also as a good preparation for planning of Lab 2. There was some waiting time but that is hard to avoid. Revise the Lab 1 instruction so it is clear that it is only the theoretical analyses of the topology that has to be done before the lab starts, the analyses of expected PCR product and cleavage pattern will be done in the waiting time when the PCR is running. It was suggested that the students should do a risk assessment or that it should be sent out to the students before day 1.  
Lab 2, the presentation of the plan vers. 1 was somewhat stressful. Short time for discussion of possible improvements. Students suggested that a scheduled meeting with each group alone before the presentation could take away some of the stress, also any power point files should be uploaded beforehand not to take valuable time from the discussion. It should be emphasized that vers. 1 of the plan is only expected to be a first draft and subject for discussions for improvements.
5. Literature:  
The text book is ok, although the organization of the information in text book and the lectures differs, hence it is necessary to read in several chapters to cover a particular topic. A weaker support for the part on bioenergetics was noted by the students, but SH will provide some supplemental texts at Live@Lund. The use of articles beside the text book is to some degree perceived as divisive, and might make it less clear what is most important to learn. The lecture handouts are supposed to serve as a guide on what to study.
6. Evaluation:  
There will an individual anonymous evaluation of the course at the end of the course. If there are any immediate issues that needs to be solved, students are welcome to contact the course leader directly, or via the student representatives if anonymity is preferred.

Notes approved by student representatives on KEMM13 2018-04-25